Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Cycloisomerization and [2+2]cyclodimerization of 1,5-cyclooctadiene catalyzed with the Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ system

V.V. Saraev^a, P.B. Kraikivskii^{a,b,*}, D.A. Matveev^a, V.V. Bocharova^a, S.K. Petrovskii^a, S.N. Zelinskii^c, A.I. Vilms^a, Hans-Friedrich Klein^b

^a Department of Chemistry, Irkutsk State University, Str. K. Marx, 1, Irkutsk, 664003, Russia

^b Eduard-Zintl-Institut für Anorganische und Physikalische Chemie der Technischen Universität Darmstadt, Petersenstrasse 18, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

^c Limnological Institute SB RAS, Str. Ulan-Batorskaya, 3, Irkutsk, 664033, Russia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 June 2009 Received in revised form 24 September 2009 Accepted 25 September 2009 Available online 3 October 2009

Keywords: Cycloisomerization Cyclodimerization 1,5-Cyclooctadiene Nickel(1) Mechanism

ABSTRACT

The catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ has been studied in conversions of 1,5-cyclooctadiene under argon and ethylene atmosphere. It has been demonstrated that the catalytic system formed under argon exhibits a high effectiveness in cycloisomerization of 1,5-COD surpassing in this characteristic all known nickel complex catalysts (selectivity to bicyclo-[3.3.0]-octene-2 is up to 99.5% at 100% conversion). In the case of ethylene atmosphere the system produces mainly dimers (yield of cyclodimers above 70%). It has been shown that the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ has the feature of "a living catalyst" consisting in resuming the initial activity with a new portion of 1,5-COD added when the monomer was fully exhausted. The main and side products of the 1,5-COD conversion have been identified with GC-MS and preparative liquid chromatography combined with NMR and IR spectroscopy. Based on EPR and IR spectroscopic data a mechanism for the catalytic performance of the Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ system in argon or ethylene atmospheres is suggested. It has been shown that Ni(0) is oxidized by the Lewis acid to Ni(I) which is stabilized by substrate molecules in a mononuclear form without involvement of conventional organoelement entities. Three sorts of paramagnetic nickel species have been found: ionic complexes containing π -coordinated COD ligands; ionic complexes σ -bonded to COD; complexes as intimate pairs with BF₄⁻ counter ions. A mechanism for the catalytic conversion of 1,5-cyclooctadiene is proposed. © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Polycyclic olefins are valuable products for organic synthesis. Their use comprises manufacture of drugs, biologically active compounds, molecular biology reagents, high molecular weight polyolefins bearing cyclic units and may serve as starting reagents in a number of fine organic syntheses [1,2].

The generation of polycyclic olefins is based on cycloaddition reactions where two interacting molecules or two fragments of a molecule give a new cycle with a general reduction in the bond multiplicity [3–13]. Of particular interest are the reactions of less-reactive non-conjugated cyclic dienes, such as cis-cis-1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) employed widely in coordination chemistry as a π -ligand [14].

The catalytic cycloisomerization of COD into the bicyclic product, cis-bicyclo-[3.3.0]-octene-2, (1) may be carried out on basic [15], acidic [16], and metal complex catalysts [17–20]. Among them nickel complex catalysts are the best for cycloisomerization of COD in terms of activity, productivity, and selectivity. These nickel complex catalysts are formed in non-aqueous solutions from Ni(II) compounds in combination with aluminium alkyl halides [17,18] as well as from Ni(0) compounds coupled with halide compounds [18] or organic acids [20].

CATALYSIS

In a recent paper [21] we have shown that the system $Ni(COD)_2/BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ additionally exhibits a high activity in the COD cycloisomerization. In this respect it proved to be superior to all known catalytic systems. Preliminary studies [22] revealed that the COD cycloisomerization with the catalytic system $Ni(COD)_2/BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ is accompanied by the [2+2]cyclodimerization of the monomer. A relative contribution from the latter reaction to the COD conversion depends on the conditions of the catalyst formation.

To elucidate the mechanism of the cis-cis-1,5-cyclooctadiene conversion the catalytic cycloisomerization and [2+2]cyclodimerization of COD in the system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ as well as the nickel complexes formed therein have been studied in this work.

 ^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Irkutsk State University, Str.
 K. Marx, 1, Irkutsk, 664003, Russia. Tel.: +7 3952 425432/+49 6151 16 2173.
 E-mail address: peter10@list.ru (P.B. Kraikivskii).

^{1381-1169/\$ -} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2009.09.017

Author's personal copy

V.V. Saraev et al. / Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238





2. Results and discussion

According to GC the Ni(COD)₂ complex dissolved in cis-cis-1,5cyclooctadiene does not react with the diene at 0-40 °C under argon. When two mole equivalents of BF₃·OEt₂ are added to a lightyellow solution of Ni(COD)₂ the coloration rapidly turns bright-red, and a catalytic conversion of COD commences. The main product is the isomer bicyclo-[3,3,0]-octene-2 (**1**, Scheme 1). The cycloisomerization of cis-cis-1,5-cyclooctadiene is accompanied by the [2+2]cyclodimerization of COD and its cyclocodimerization with the products of the cycloisomerization. Using GC-MS and preparative chromatography combined with NMR and IR spectroscopy tetracyclic a cyclobutane dimer of COD, **2**, and tricyclic dimers, **3**, **4**, and **5** (Scheme 1) have been identified. Table 1 shows how the conditions of formation of the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ influence on the catalytic activity and the yields of the products.

The data of Table 1 allow the conclusion that the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ prepared in the mixture toluene/COD (run #7) surpasses all known catalysts of the COD cycloisomerization with respect to activity and selectivity [7].

When in the reaction mixture the COD supply is completely exhausted, the reaction may be resumed by a fresh portion of COD. This is peculiar to "living" catalytic reactions [23]. The COD expenditure curve for three consecutive cycles is given in Fig. 1.

Noteworthy, the ratio between the COD reaction products remains constant for the subsequent cycles that may be indicative of an unchanged active species.



Fig. 1. Content of COD in the system vs. time. Two portions of COD successively introduced into the system Ni(COD)₂/5BF₃·OEt₂/400COD under argon at 20 °C. One portion increases the ratio COD:Ni by 400.

It is known that the polymerization of norbornene with nickel complex catalysts in ethylene atmosphere produces not only co-polymers [24], the presence of ethylene facilitates homopolymerization of norbornene as well [25,26]. This phenomenon is attributable to altering the active species. Therefore, to improve the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ in COD dimerizing the catalysis in ethylene atmosphere (P=0.2 MPa) has been studied.

Ni(COD)₂ when dissolved in COD under ethylene is inactive at 0-40 °C according to GC. When BF₃·OEt₂ is added to the solution 1,5-cyclooctadiene undergoes a catalytic conversion. If the molar ratio B:Ni is equal to 2, the tetracyclic dimer **2** is the main COD product (>70%) for more than 95% monomer conversion. In addition to products **1** and **2** together with side products **4** and **5**, unsaturated hydrocarbons **6** and **7** resulted from the [2+3]cyclocodimerization of ethylene and the products of COD cycloisomerization and cyclodimerization have been identified with GC-MS, preparative chromatography combined with NMR and IR spectroscopy. It is noteworthy that not even a trace of compound **3** was found among the side products (Scheme 2). Table 2 shows how the conditions of formation of the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ influence on its activity and the distribution of the COD conversion products in an ethylene atmosphere.

The data in Table 2 suggest that with an increasing molar ratio B:Ni the yield of cyclodimer **2** decreases, whereas the yield of cycloisomer **1** increases. At the ratio B:Ni \geq 15 the ethylene influence on the ratio of products **1** and **2** becomes negligible.

As soon as COD is introduced to the COD-depleted system, the reaction is resumed as under an argon atmosphere (Fig. 2). The ratio between products **1** and **2** in the consequent cycles remains almost constant.

In papers [20,27] a hydride mechanism for the COD cycloisomerization on nickel complex catalysts was proposed. This concept was based on the fact that the Ni(COD)₂ complex was activated with Brønstedt acids for the catalytic reaction. In our studies the Lewis acid BF₃.OEt₂ acts as an activator. To estimate the influence of contaminating proton acids on the activation of Ni(COD)₂ we have studied the conversion of COD with the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/HBF₃OEt. The curves of the COD conversion rate vs. the molar ratio B:Ni are given in Fig. 3 for the two systems (COD)₂/HBF₃OEt (a) and Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ (b) under comparable conditions. According to Fig. 3 the system with the Brønsted acid is less active by a factor of 10^3 than the system with the Lewis acid. Consequently, uncontrolled contamination of BF₃·OEt₂ with proton acids plays no fundamental role in the formation of the nickel complex catalyst.

V.V. Saraev et al. / Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238

Activity and selectivity of the system Ni(COD) ₂ /nBF ₃ ·O	DEt ₂ in the conversion of COD under argon.
--	--

No	Ni(COD)2:COD	Ni:B	COD conversion (%)	TOF ^a	Yields of pro	elds of products (%)			
					1	2	3	4	5
1	1:400	1:15	97.3	657	85.7	8.5	4.8	0.4	0.5
2	1:400	1:10	98.2	680	90.7	7.4	1.3	0.5	
3	1:400	1:5	100 ^b	630	98.4	1.1	0.5>	_b	_b
4	1:400	1:2	100 ^b	540	97.0	1.8	0.5	0.3>	0.3
5	1:1000	1:5	98.5	1230	96.4	2.2	0.7	>0.3	0.3
6	1:2000	1:5	57.6	1300	98.0	_b	_b	_b	_b
7	1:400 ^c	1:5	100 ^b	650	99.5	_b	_b	_b	_b

^a TOF: mol COD/mol Ni h.

^b According to GC.

^c In a toluene solution, molar ratio toluene:COD = 1:1.

Table 2

Activity and selectivity of the system $Ni(COD)_2/nBF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in the conversion of COD under ethylene atmosphere.

No	Ni(COD)2:COD	Ni:B	COD conversion (%)	TOF ^a	Yields of p	lds of products (%)				
					1	2	6	7	4, 5	
1	1:400	1:2	95.6	13,600	20.5	70.2	5.5	2.1	~1.5	
2	1:400	1:5	97.2	14,200	58.4	34.7	4.7	1.2	~ 1	
3	1:400	1:10	98.6	14,400	82.6	13.0	4.3	~ 1	_b	
4	1:400	1:15	97.8	12,800	90.1	9.6	0.2	_b	_b	
5	1:1000	1:5	56.0	15,200	64.2	33.2	1.4	_b	_b	
6	1:400 ^c	1:5	100 ^b	9,800	30.6	66.1	0.8	_b	_b	

^a TOF: mol COD/mol Ni h.

^b According to GC.

^c In a toluene solution, molar ratio toluene:COD = 1:1.

A flash-up of the activity of the system $Ni(COD)_2/HBF_3OEt$ in the neighborhood of B:Ni \approx 0.5 seems to be associated with a partial oxidation of Ni(0) to Ni(I). Previously, similar patterns were noted for the catalytic systems Ni(PPh₃)₄/HBF₃OEt and Ni(PPh₃)₄/BF₃·OEt₂ in ethylene oligomerization [28,29].

For understanding the origin of the activity of the system $Ni(COD)_2/BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ the nickel complexes formed in the system under argon and ethylene have been studied with EPR and IR spectroscopy. Unfortunately, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy was inapplicable because of the strong paramagnetism of the system.

When at 0 °C under argon two mole equivalents of BF₃·OEt₂ were added to Ni(COD)₂ in toluene, the initial light-yellow coloration of the solution immediately turned bright-red. The process is attended with the appearance of intensive EPR signal 1 (Fig. 4) characteristic for the Ni(I) compounds with the 3d⁹ electron configuration (g_{\parallel} = 2.38, g_{\perp} = 2.05). Simultaneously an IR spectrum shows



Fig. 2. Content of COD in the system vs. time. Three portions of COD successively introduced into the system Ni(COD)₂/5BF₃·OEt₂/400COD in ethylene atmosphere (0.2 MPa) at 20 °C. One portion increases the ratio COD:Ni by 400.







Fig. 4. EPR spectra of paramagnetic nickel complexes in the catalytic Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ ($C_{Ni} = 10^{-2}$ mol/L, toluene as a solvent, t = -196 °C).

new bands at 1122–1050 and 826 cm⁻¹ characteristic for the tetrahedral anion BF_4^- having no bridged bonds [30]. Consequently, in the Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ system Ni(0) is oxidized to some Ni(I) species which appears to be stabilized in solution by cyclooctadiene ligands in the form the cationic complex [Ni(COD)₂]BF₄.

Within 7-8 min the initial paramagnetic complex is transformed into a stable complex giving EPR signal 2 (Fig. 4). The EPR parameters of this new complex ($g_x = 2.030$, $g_y = 2.048$, $g_z = 2.249$) indicate that its metal-ligand bond is more covalent than that of the initial complex. Concurrently an IR spectrum demonstrates a slip of the BF₄⁻ anion bands to a region of higher frequencies with peaks at 1210 and 1085 cm⁻¹. In addition, a new band appears in the low frequency region at 577 cm⁻¹, which is characteristic for organometallic complexes with delocalized carbon-metal bonds [30,31]. These spectral data indicate activation of the cyclooctadiene ligand in the Ni(I) coordination sphere. The amount of BF₃·OEt₂ introduced into the system does not affect the composition of paramagnetic nickel complexes within the studied limits B:Ni = 2-30. For all the intervals only signals 1 and 2 are detected. The introduced amount of BF3.OEt2 reflects only on the time it takes for signal 1 to transform into signal 2 (about 2 min for B:Ni = 30).

Notice that the paramagnetic complex responsible for signal 2 is stable in a toluene solution under argon. Its signal intensity is virtually unchanged during 36 h for B:Ni = 30. However, contact with air causes EPR signal 2 and the IR band at 577 cm^{-1} to disappear rapidly. If excess COD is added to the system when EPR signal 2 gets maximum, the EPR spectrum starts altering. Signal 2 is initially replaced by signal 1, then, as COD is being converted, the process is reversed. These spectral transformations may be recurred with a next portion of COD.

An entirely different spectral pattern is observed under ethylene atmosphere.

At the beginning of the reaction between Ni(COD)₂ and BF₃·OEt₂ in toluene under ethylene (within the molar ratio limits 2 < B:Ni < 30), the EPR spectrum shows an intensive signal 1 which further transforms into signal 3 with the well-defined hyperfine structure (HFS) from two equivalent nuclei having spin I = 1/2 ($g_{||} = 1.987, g_{\perp} = 2.452, A_{||} = 122.2 Gs, A_{\perp} = 58.8 Gs$). The replacement of EPR signal 1 by signal 3 is attended with the replacement of the IR BF₄⁻ anion bands by the group of bands peaked at 1224, 1184, 1082, and 1030 cm⁻¹ that may be assigned to tetrafluoroborate bridged structures according to published data [30].

Addition of an excess of COD to the system when EPR signal 3 is at maximum intensity replaces signal 3 for signal 1 followed by the reverse transformation as COD is being converted.

A next portion of COD added in ethylene atmosphere recovers the dynamic spectral pattern.

To find the cause of the HFS in signal 3, runs with perdeuterated ethylene have been carried out. When ordinary ethylene was substituted by C_2D_4 prior to combining the components of the catalytic system, EPR signal 3 underwent no change in form and intensity. Consequently, the HFS in signal 3 bears no relation to ethylene protons but most likely arises from two equivalent nuclei of ¹⁹F ($I_F = 1/2$). This assumption is supported by the large value of the HFS constant, it is tenfold as great as the known ¹H HFS constants for Ni(I) hydride complexes [32].

²H NMR and GC-MS control of the reaction products for the deuterated ethylene runs have revealed increasing ²H content in





codimerization products **6** and **7**, whereas products **1** and **2** had the natural ²H content. The absence of deuterium exchange for products **1** and **2** serves as an indirect evidence that the catalytic system $Ni(COD)_2/BF_3$.OEt₂ converts COD without participation of nickel hydride complexes.

The presented data allow the following conclusions:

- 1. The activity of the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ for the cycloisomerization and cyclodimerization of COD is associated with the Ni(I) cations stabilized in solution by molecules of the monomer and its reaction products.
- 2. The conversion of COD with the catalytic system Ni(COD)₂/BF₃·OEt₂ proceeds with no nickel hydride complexes involved.

Analysis of the obtained data leads us to propose the following mechanism of the catalytic conversion of COD (Schemes 3–8):

Under the action of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ Ni(0) the starting material Ni(COD)₂ is oxidized to the cationic complex $[Ni(COD)_2]BF_4$ (**b**, Scheme 3) which seems to be responsible for EPR signal 1. The oxidation is likely to proceed through the mechanism we previously proposed for the system Ni(PPh₃)₄/BF₃ · OEt₂ [33]. It contains the steps of oxidative addition and subsequent dismutation to Ni(II) and Ni(0).

The most important point in the conversion of COD is activation of the multiple bond. Taking into account catalytic passivity of Ni(0) and a high activity of nickel arising after the oxidation, one should accept that the positive charge plays an important role in polarization and activation of the multiple bond. It seems likely that the positive charge of the Ni ion migrates to the hydrocarbon with formation of a Ni–C covalent bond (formation of a carbocationic complex). A similar activation mechanism was systematically studied for Fe(II) cationic complexes [34–42] and applied to explain conversion of hydrocarbons with cationic complexes of Pt(II) and Pd(II) [43,44], Pt(II) [45], Zr(IV) [46], Cu(I) [47] and Ni(I) [48,49]. The carbocationic activated complex may be conceived as a metallocyclopropane (Scheme 4) having delocalized positive charge, its resonance forms (α , β and γ) are shown in Scheme 4.

Scheme 5 displays reactions of 1,5-COD in an argon atmosphere: the main cycloisomerization to **1** and the side position isomerization to **1**,3-COD (**h**). According to Scheme 5 activated complex **c** undergoes a hydride transfer and position isomerization of 1,5-COD to 1,4-COD (**d**) which has a geometry favorable for forming the C2–C6 bond synchronous with the oxidative addition of nickel (C1–Ni–C7). In intermediate **e** nickel is in 3+ oxidation state. The reductive elimination Ni(III) \rightarrow Ni(I) gives the main product cycloisomer **1**. This process is attended by formation of **6** through the [2+3]cycloaddition of C₂H₄ to the cycloisomers in ethylene atmosphere. When the C2–C6 bond is not formed, then the position isomerization goes on to give metallocyclopentadiene **g**. This is evidently the most stable compound among the nickel species involved and appears to exhibit EPR signal 2.



Author's personal copy

V.V. Saraev et al. / Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238



 $I = Mi \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix} BF_2 \implies Mi \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix} BF_2$

Scheme 7.

Scheme 6 illustrates the cyclodimerization of 1,3-COD through the oxidative addition of Ni(I) to two COD molecules resulting in metallocyclopentane intermediate **j** containing nickel in the oxidation state 3+. A similar scheme for the formation of cyclobutane structures was thoroughly studied for systems containing Ni(0) and Ni(II) [50–52]. According to Scheme 6 the reductive elimination gives cyclodimer **4**, or, alternatively, the position cycloisomerization results in cyclodimer **3**. The complex of Ni⁺ with conjugated dimer (**k**) is qualitatively similar to complex **g** in Scheme 5. Therefore, signal 2 assigned to complex **g** may likewise refer to complex **k**.

Signal 3, registered only in runs under ethylene atmosphere when the load of COD was completely exhausted, uniquely points to the formation of an intimate ion pair consisting of a nickel cation and the BF₄⁻ anion. The ratio between the components of the *g*-factor ($g_{\perp} > g_{||} \approx 2$) is characteristic of Ni(I) ions with 3d⁹ electron configuration in a trigonal field of ligands [53] and Ni(III) ions with 3d⁷ electron configuration in a tetragonal field of ligands [54,55]

only when the ligands are equivalent in an equatorial plane. The exceptions are flat tetracoordinative cis-complexes with equivalent ligands arranged in pairs [56]. Considering the fact that only two equivalent fluorine atoms are within the first coordination sphere of nickel, signal 3 should be ascribed to a flat tetracoordinate cis-complex of Ni(III). Scheme 7 displays a cyclopropane Ni(III) complex as intimate ion pair **m**: equilibrium biased aside Ni(III).

The transformation of the free (solvated by COD molecules) ions into intimate ion pairs in ethylene atmosphere evidently results in a reduced delocalization of the positive charge to the hydrocarbon and, consequently, reduces the rate of the position isomerization and cycloisomerization of COD. The absence of cyclodimer 3 among products of the COD conversion in ethylene atmosphere also points to a reduction in activity of the catalytic system in the isomerization of COD under these conditions. A mechanism for the cyclodimerization of COD in ethylene atmosphere is proposed in Scheme 8. When a more basic hydrocarbon donor is coordinated in complex m the intimate ion pair dissociates. The formed metallocyclopropane complex rearranges to give a metallocyclopentane intermediate **o**. The latter decomposes into cyclodimer 5 and the initial Ni(III) complex (**m**). A relative rise of the rate of the COD cyclodimerization in ethylene atmosphere is attributable to the fact that the nickel(III) oxidation state stays intact during the formation of the cyclobutane structures.



Scheme 8.

3. Experimental

3.1. General procedures and materials

Standard vacuum techniques were used in manipulations of volatile and air-sensitive materials. All the prepared and synthesized reagents were kept under argon in sealed ampoules.

1,5-Cyclooctadiene, toluene, hexane (Merck) were additionally dried with 3 A molecular sieves (Aldrich) and degassed. Boron etherate trifluoride (Merck) was distilled over lithium hydride prior to use. Preparative chromatography separations were carried out with a 600 mm \times 20 mm column filled with a 60 Merck silica, hexane-ether (95:5).

Mass spectra were obtained on a Varian MAT spectrometer. GC-MS spectra were obtained on an Agilent 5973N-6890 mass spectrometer with electron impact and chemical ionization coupled with a gas chromatograph (GC-MS). Mass spectra were identified using a database from the NIST Library of Mass Spectra and Subsets.

¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were obtained from BRUKER AVANCE 500, AVANCE DRX-700 spectrometers. ¹³C and ³¹P NMR resonances were obtained with broad-band proton decoupling. Assignment of ¹³C signals was supported by APT, DEPT, COSY, NOESY, TOCSY, HMQC, HSQC, HMBC.

EPR spectra were recorded with a CMS-8400 spectrometer (operating frequency 9.6 GHz) at the temperature of boiling nitrogen. The free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and Mn^{2+} in MgO were used for the calibration of the spectrometer.

IR spectra were recorded in KBr cuvettes in argon with an Infralum FT-801 Fourier spectrometer.

Perdeuterated ethylene was synthesized from deutero-ethanol according to [57].

Bis[1,2:5,6-η-cyclooctadiene-1,5]nickel was synthesized according to [58].

Product 1: NMR C¹³ (100.61 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 50.90 (d, ¹*J*_{C,H} = 132.85 Hz, C1), 134.68 (d, ¹*J*_{C,H} = 160.68 Hz, C2), 129.55 (d ¹*J*_{C,H} = 160.92 Hz, C3), 41.25 (t ¹*J*_{C,H} = 130.80 Hz, C4), 40.40 (d ¹*J*_{C,H} = 139.46 Hz, C5), 35.92 (t ¹*J*_{C,H} = 128.32 Hz, C6), 25.46 (t ¹*J*_{C,H} = 128.09 Hz, C7), 32.56 (d ¹*J*_{C,H} = 127.41 Hz, C8) ppm. NMR H¹ (400.13 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 3.15 (m, 1H1); 5.55 (m, 1H2); 5.49 (m, 1H3); 2.58–2.63 (m, 2H4); 1.90–2.02 (m, 1H5); 1.65–1.69 (m, 2H6); 1.29–1.39 (m, 2H7); 1.42–1.46 (m, 2H8) ppm [59,60].

MS (70 eV): *m*/*z* (%)=51(15.3), 65(15.9), 66(44.2), 67(43.1), 79(100), 80(86.9), 93(31.8), 108(37.6) [61].

Product 2: Since data bases [61] have no information about product 2, we may assert that 2 was first obtained by us. For structure studies product 2 was isolated with vacuum fractionation followed by preparative liquid column chromatography. According to GC-MS a fraction within 95–100 $^\circ C/5 \times 10^{-2}$ Hg mm had the maximum content of product 2. It was chromatographed through a silica column to crop product $2 (\geq 97\%$ purity) consisting of the mixture of two isomers 2A and 2B (the ratio of 3:2) according to GC-MS. Attempts to separate these isomers applying preparative liquid column chromatography failed. Mass spectra of the individual isomers **A** and **B** recovered from GC-MS. It is noteworthy that these spectra moderately vary only in the relative intensities of the peaks. This observation, in the case of saturated cycloalkanes, generally points to a stereoisomerism of the molecules having several cycles equal in size. MS clearly displays a molecular ion with m/z = 216. The most intensive ion peak has m/z = 175 (relative intensity 100%), whereas the relative intensity of the peak at m/z = 108 was about 28%. So, product 2 is assignable to none of the known dimers of cyclooctadiene [4–13]. The recorded mass spectrum failed to be found neither in a commercial data base nor in a free one [61].



Fig. 5. Assignment of ¹³C signals in **2**.

From the mass spectrum of the product one can see that fragmentation occurs at the tertiary carbon atoms of cyclopentane moieties of the molecule followed by cleaving alkyl groups and alkenes. This pattern is characteristic of polycyclic unsaturated compounds with cycles of a C4–C8 size [62,63]. To arrive at the structure of compound **2** the obtained MS data were complemented with NMR spectroscopy using DEPT, COSY, NOESY, TOCSY, HMQC, HSOC, and HMBC.

The C¹³ spectrum has two groups of resolved signals with relative intensities 3:2; this ratio corresponds to the contents of isomers **2A** and **2B** in the analyzed mixture according to GC. So, the intensity difference allowed us to distinguish two groups of signals in NMR spectra and attribute each group to a particular isomer. To assign the signals of heteronuclear correlation NMR spectra we relied on signals of carbon and hydrogen atoms at the double bond and the C16 carbon (numeration of atoms in Fig. 5). The analysis of combined DEPT, COSY, NOESY, TOCSY, HMQC, HSQC, HMBC spectra made possible the unambiguous assignment of the signals of the mentioned carbons and the hydrogen atoms joined to them. Following interpretation of the spectra was carried out in the conventional manner. Constants of spin–spin interaction were determined from the HMQC spectrum without heteronuclear resolution.

IR spectrum of compound **2** displays a group of weak bands in the region of 900–923 cm⁻¹, they are attributable to skeleton vibrations of the cyclobutane ring [64,65].

2A: NMR C¹³ (176 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 128.19 (d, ¹J_{C,H} = 156 Hz, C1), 135.17 (d, ¹J_{C,H} = 155 Hz, C2), 39.76 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 131 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 129 Hz, C3), 32.58 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 130 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 124 Hz, C4), 25.81 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 132 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 109 Hz, C5), 32.13 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 131 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 129 Hz, C6), 45.96 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 132 Hz, C7), 52.93 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 132 Hz, C8), 33.71 (m, C9), 44.25 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 131 Hz, C10), 33.56 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 133 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 121 Hz, C11), 33.47 (dd ¹J_{C,H} = 125 Hz, ¹J_{C,H'} = 126 Hz, C12), 33.65 (m, C13), 48.30 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 131 Hz, C14), 53.89 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 129 Hz, C15), 51.65 (d ¹J_{C,H} = 132.5 Hz, C16) ppm.

NMR H¹ (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 5.50 (m, H1); 5.60 (m, H2); 2.54 (m, H3), 2.14 (m, H3'); 1.69 (m, H4), 1.17 (m, H'4); 1.50 (m, H5); 1.90 (m, H6); 1.16 (m, H'6), 2.34 (m, H7); 1.27 (m, H8); 1.52 (m, H9), 1.31 (m, H'9); 2.39 (m, H10); 1.72 (m, H11), 1.11 (m, H'11); 1.82 (m, H12), 1.00 (m, H'12); 1.50 (m, H13), 1.32 (m, H'13); 2.1 (m, H14), 1.35 (m, H15), 3.1 (m, H16) ppm.

MS (70 eV): *m/z* (%)=55(12.5), 67(68.2), 80(71.8), 91(30.5), 93(31.3), 107(27.8), 119(7.56), 134(17.3), 147(5.9), 175(100), 187(3.2), 216(12.9).

HRMS C₁₆H₂₄: Calculated-216.1878, Measured-216.18726.

2B: NMR C^{13} (176 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): $\delta = 128.26$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 156$ Hz, C1), 135.02 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 155$ Hz, C2), 39.99 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 129$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{C,H'} = 129$ Hz, C3), 32.53 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 142$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{C,H'} = 126$ Hz, C4), 25.93 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 129$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{C,H'} = 109$ Hz, C5), 32.53 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 120$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{C,H'} = 125$ Hz, C6), 45.76 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 133$ Hz, C7), 53.59 (d ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 132$ Hz, C8), 34.24 (m, C9) 44.29 (d ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 133$ Hz, C10), 33.86 (dd ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 130$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{C,H'} = 124$ Hz, C11) 33.62 (m, C12), 34.00 (m, C13), 49.05 (d ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 130$ Hz, C14), 54.68 (d ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 120$ Hz, C15), 51.63 (d ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 132.5$ Hz, C16) ppm. NMR H¹ (700 MHz, CDCl₃,

236

V.V. Saraev et al. / Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238

203 K): δ = 5.50 (m, H1); 5.59 (m, H2); 2.53 (m, H3), 2.08 (m, H₃'); 1.69 (m, H4), 1.17 (m, H'4); 1.51 (m, H5); 1.92 (m, H6), 1.17 (m, H'6); 2.26 (m, H7); 1.25 (m, H8); 1.77(m, H9), 1.19(m, H'9); 2.36 (m, H10); 1.84 (m, H11), 1.02 (m, H'11); 1.58 (m, H12), 1.41 (m, H'12); 1.57 (m, H13), 1.36 (m, H'13); 2.00 (m, H14); 1.33 (m, H15); 3.1 (m, H16) ppm.

MS (70 eV): m/z (%)=55(12.9), 67(70.9), 80(70.9), 91(30.5), 93(31.1), 107(27.5), 119(7.25), 134(15.8), 147(5.3), 175(100), 187(2.8), 216(11.0).

HRMS C₁₆H₂₄: Calculated–216.1878, Measured–216.18693. For the mixture of **A** and **B**.

IR (film): ν (=C-H st)=3045 cm⁻, ν (C-H st)=2940 cm⁻, 2858 cm⁻, ν (C=C st)=1614 cm⁻, ν (CH₂ δ)=3045 cm⁻, ν (C-C γ)=925 cm⁻, ν (CH₂ γ)=712 cm⁻.

3.1.1. Product (3) as a mixture of isomers

NMR C¹³ (176 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 139.17, 137.79, 135.06, 132.7 (s, quaternary carbon atoms), 127.71, 126.07, 125.84, 124.33 (d) ppm [6,14].

MS (70 eV): m/z (%)=44(8.6), 55(15.7), 67(34.4), 79(61.4), 91(57.5), 107(34.0), 119(25.1), 134(100.0), 173(9.9), 175(10.4), 216(25.3) [6.14].

Product (4): NMR C¹³ (176 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 26.24, 26.42, 27.14, 27.95, 28.45, 29.32, 30.96, 32.34 (8CH₂), 37.78, 41.84, 48.16, 48.9, 127.9, 128.02, 131.06, 133.9 (8CH) ppm.

NMR H¹ (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 203 K): δ = 5.57 (m, H6); 5.67 (m, H11); 5.72 (m, H7), 5.81 (m, H10); 1.69 (m, H4), 1.17 (m, H'4); 1.51 (m, H5); 1.92 (m, H6), 1.17 (m, H'6); 2.26 (m, H7); 1.25 (m, H8); 1.77 (m, H9), 1.19(m, H'9); 2.36 (m, H10); 1.84 (m, H11), 1.02 (m, H'11); 1.58 (m, H12), 1.41 (m, H'12); 1.57 (m, H13), 1.36 (m, H'13); 2.00 (m, H14); 1.33 (m, H15); 3.1 (m, H16) ppm [4–9].

MS (70 eV): m/z (%)=44(6.6), 67(11.6), 99(50.1), 91(17.2), 107(100), 216(9.2) [4-9,61].

Product (5): GC-MS (70 eV): m/z (%)=53(21.3), 67(71.7), 79(100.0), 91(44.9), 107(24.9), 119(17.5), 134(63.1), 135(43.4), 173(8.4), 187(5.8), 216(20.9) [12,61].

Product (6): GC-MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 43(7.1), 51(13.1), 53(14.2), 66(88.2), 67(42.4), 77(38.8), 79(100.0), 80(72.5), 91(28.5), 95(94.7), 107(61.1), 121(9.9), 136(19.5) [61,66].

Product (7): GC-MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 43(7.6), 55(31.8), 67(83.6), 79(86.9), 91(41.3), 107(24.0), 135(21.9), 162(5.7), 203(100.0), 215(13.9), 244(12.4).

GC-MS (70 eV): m/z (%)=44(11.6), 53(8.3), 67(21.1), 79(58.5), 91(32.2), 107(100), 117(6.9), 134(17.1), 173(11.5), 187(4.6), 216(18.4) [61].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. J.J. Schneider for providing laboratory facilities.

This work was supported by the RFBR (Russia) and CRDF (USA) (The international grant No RUC1-2862-IR-07) and Federal Agency for Science and Innovations of the Russian Federation (project #02.512.11.2215).

P.K. thanks DAAD Michail-Lomonosov-Forschungsstipendien (No 8168) for a research stipendium. D.M. thanks ISU for the grant 2008-03-01-k.

References

- [1] H. Günther, G. Jikeli, Chem. Rev. 77 (1977) 599-637.
- [2] J. Leitich, Tetrahedron Lett. 19 (1978) 3589–3592.
- [3] G. Wilke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27 (1988) 185-206.
- [4] J. Leitich, Angew. Chem. 81 (1969) 929.
- [5] J. Leitich, I. Heise, K. Angermund, J. Rust, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002 (2002) 1803-1825.
- [6] J. Pietruszka, W.A. Konig, H. Maelger, J. Kopf, Chem. Ber. 126 (1993) 159-166.

- [7] G.L. Lange, E. Neidert, Can. J. Chem. 51 (1973) 2207-2214.
- [8] C.B. Reese, A. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 13 (1972) 787-788.
- [9] A. Padwa, W. Koehn, J. Masaracehia, C.L. Osborn, D.J. Trecker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 3633-3638
- [10] C.L. Osborn, D.J. Trecker, A. Padwa, W. Koehn, J. Masaracchia, Tetrahedron Lett. 11 (1970) 4653-4656.
- [11] R.-H. Criegee, G. Reinhardt, Chem. Ber. 101 (1968) 102-112.
- 12] J. Leitich, Tetrahedron 38 (1982) 1303–1309
- [13] G. Wittig, H.-L. Dorsch, J. Meske-Schiiller, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 711 (1968) 55-64.
- [14] S.P. Gubin, A.V. Golounin, Dienes and Their Complexes, Novosibirsk, 1983.
- [15] W.J. Evans, D.J. Wink, A.L. Wayda, D.A. Little, J. Org. Chem. 46 (1981) 3925–3928.
- [16] P.J. Kropp, G.W. Breton, S.L. Craig, S.D. Crawford, W.E. Durland, J.E. Jones, J.S. Raleigh, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 4146-4152.
- [17] G. Wilke, B. Bogdanovich, H.-G. Nussel, US Patent 3,912,786 (1974); GB Patent 1.340,760 (1974).
- [18] N.A. Maly, H. Menapace, M.F. Farona, J. Catal. 29 (1973) 182-183.
- [19] D. Wittenberg, H. Mueller, German Patent 1240852 (1967).
- [20] Y. Miura, J. Kiji, J. Furukawa, J. Mol. Catal. 1 (1975/76) 447-450.
- [21] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, D.A. Matveev, S.K. Petrovskii, S.V. Fedorov, Russ. J. Coordin. Chem. 34 (2008) 712-713.
- [22] P.B. Kraikivskii, S.K. Petrovskii, D.A. Matveev, V.V. Saraev, Theses of reports. Rus. Conf. "Present state and development trends of the organometallic catalysis of olefin polymerization", Chernogolovka (2008), p. 65.
- [23] (a) V.C. Gibson, S.K. Spitzmesser, Chem. Rev. 103 (2003) 283-315; (b) M. Kamigiato, T. Ando, M. Sawamoto, Chem. Rev. 101 (2001) 3689-3745.
- [24] K.L. Makovetskii, V.I. Bykov, E.Sh. Finkel'shtein, Kinetics Catal. 47 (2006) 241-245.
- G. Myagmarsuren, O.J. Jeon, S.-K. Ihm, Appl. Catal. A 275 (2004) 271-277.
- Y. Jang, H.-K. Sung, S. Lee, C. Bae, Polymer 46 (2005) 11301–11310.
 A. Behr, U. Freudenberg, W. Keim, J. Mol. Catal. 35 (1986) 9–17.
- [28] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, S.N. Zelinskiy, D.A. Matveev, A.I. Vilms, A.V. Rohin,
- K. Lammertsma, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 236 (2005) 125-131. [29] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, D.A. Matveev, A.I. Vil'ms, S.N. Zelinskii, K. Lam-
- mertsma, Kinetics Catal. 47 (2006) 699-703. [30] K. Nakomoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Com-
- pounds, Wiley, New York, 1986. [31] J. Howard, T.C. Waddingston, J. Chem. Soc. 74 (1978) 879–888.
- [32] V.V. Saraev, F.K. Shmidt, V.A. Gruznich, L.V. Mironova, T.I. Bakunina, Russ. J. Coordin. Chem. 5 (1979) 897–904.
- [33] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, D.A. Matveev, S.N. Zelinskii, K. Lammertsma, Inorg. Chim. Acta 359 (2006) 2314-2320
- [34] E.O. Changamu, H.B. Friedrich, M. Rademeyer, J. Organomet. Chem. 692 (2007) 2456-2472.
- [35] E.O. Changamu, H.B. Friedrich, J. Organomet. Chem. 693 (2008) 3351-3356.
- [36] T.C.T. Chang, B.M. Foxman, M. Rosenblum, C. Stockman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 (1981) 7361-7362.
- E.J. Crawford, T.W. Bodnar, A.R. Cutler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 6202–6212. [38] S.A. Matchett, D. Frattarelli, R. Hoekstra, J. Organomet. Chem. 692 (2007)
- 4978-4984. [39] E.O. Changamu, H.B. Friedrich, R.A. Howie, M. Rademeyer, J. Organomet. Chem.
- 692 (2007) 5091-5096.
- [40] E.O. Changamu, H.B. Friedrich, M. Rademeyer, J. Organomet. Chem. 693 (2008) 164-168
- [41] S.A. Matchett, B.R. Schmiege-Boyle, J. Cooper, D. Fratterelli, K. Olsou, J. Roberts, J. Thommen, D. Tigelaar, F. Winker, Organometallics 22 (2003) 5047-5053.
- [42] S.A. Matchett, G. Zhang, D. Frattarelli, Organometallics 23 (2004) 5440-5449. [43] M.E. Cucciolito, A. D'Amora, A. Vitagliano, Organometallics 26 (2007) 5216-5223
- [44] M.E. Cucciolito, A. D'Amora, A. Vitagliano, Organometallics 24 (2005) 3359-3361.
- [45] C. Hahn, M.A. Cucciolito, A. Vitagliano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 9038–9039.
- [46] M. Vatamanu, G. Stojcevic, M. Baird, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 454-456.
- [47] B. Yan, H. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Org. Chem. 72 (2007) 7783-7786.
- [48] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, V.V. Annenkov, A.I. Vil'ms, D.A. Matveev, E.N. Danilovtseva, T.G. Ermakova, N.P. Kuznetsova, K. Lammertsma, Kinetics Catal. 46 (2005) 712-719.
- [49] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, V.V. Annenkov, S.N. Zelinskiy, D.A. Matveev, A.I. Vilms, E.N. Danilovtseva, K. Lammertsma, ARKIVOC XV (2005) 44-52.
- [50] R.H. Grubbs, A. Miyashita, M. Liu, P. Burk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1997) 3863-3864.
- [51] R.H. Grubbs, A. Miyashita, M. Liu, P. Burk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 2418-2425.
- [52] R.H. Grubbs, A. Miyashita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 1300–1302.
- [53] V.V. Saraev, P.B. Kraikivskii, P.G. Lazarev, G. Myagmarsuren, V.S. Tkach, F.K. Shmidt, Russ. J. Coordin. Chem. 22 (1996) 615-622.
- [54] B.A. Goodman, J.B. Rayanor, Adv. Anorg. Chem. Radiochem. 13 (1970) 135-362. [55] H.A. Kuska, M.T. Rogers, Electron Spin Resonance of First Row Transition Metal
- Complex Ions, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968. [56] C.J. Ballhansen, Introduction to Ligand Field Theory, McGranw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, San Francisco, Toronto, London, 1962
- [57] Laboratory Methods of Preparation of Pure Gases, Goskhimizdat, Moscow, 1963. p. 419.
- [58] B. Bogdanovic, M. Kröner, G. Wilke, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 699 (1966) 1-23.
- [59] E.A. Hill, K. Hsieh, K. Condroski, H. Sonnentag, D. Skalitzky, D. Gagas, J. Org. Chem. 54 (1989) 5286-5292.
- [60] E.C. Ashby, D. Coleman, J. Org. Chem. 52 (1987) 4554-4565.

Author's personal copy

V.V. Saraev et al. / Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 315 (2010) 231-238

- [61] (a) NIST 2008 Database; (b) Spectral Database for Organic Compounds, SDBS, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST).
- [62] E.F.H. Brittain, C.H.J. Wells, H.M. Paisley, J. Chem. Soc. B 305 (1968) 304–307.
 [63] A.A. Polyakova, R.A. Hmelnitzky, Mass Spectrometry in Organic Chemistry, Khimiya, Moskow, 1966, p. 368. [64] G.F. Bolshakov, Infrared Spectra of Saturated Hydrocarbons. Part 1: Alkanes,
- Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1986, p. 177.
- [65] M. Hesse, H. Meier, B. Zeeh, Spectroscopic Methods in Organic Chemistry, 1997, New York, p. 366.
- [66] (a) R.H. Boyd, S.N. Sanwal, S. Shary-Tehrany, D. McNally, J. Phys. Chem. 75 (1971) 1264-1265:
 - (b) W.N. Washburn, R.A. Hillson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4575–4580; (c) W. Cooper, D.E. Eaves, M.E. Tunnicliffe, G. Vaughan, Eur. Polym. J. 1 (1965) 121–124.